

**MINUTES**  
**SEX OFFENDER RESIDENCY BOARD**  
Tuesday, April 28, 2015  
City Hall, Room 310  
5:00 p.m.

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Dean Gerondale, Heidi Michel, Renee Keehan, Kathy De Cremer

**EXCUSED:** Ben Heiman

The meeting was called to order by Dean Gerondale.

**1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Approval of the March 11, 2015 Minutes of the Sex Offender Residency Board meeting

Motion made by K. De Cremer to approve the March 11, 2015 Minutes, seconded by R. Keehan. All in favor. Motion carried.

**2. APPEALS**

(a) Appeal of Leo Matson requesting to move to 613 Hubbard Street

Leo appeared in person. D. Gerondale advised Leo of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Leo came before the board in January and was approved for 90 days at this location. He is here today to show treatment and employment documentation. He provided the board with letters from ATTIC Correctional Services

A motion is made by R. Keehan and seconded by K. DeCremer to move into closed session to discuss Leo's treatment, pursuant to Section 146.82(1), Wis. Stats. All in favor. Motion carried. A motion is made by K. De Cremer and seconded by H. Michel to return to open session. All in favor. Motion carried.

Leo stated he has been employed at American Foods Group for six months. He does not have documentation with him today. Leo currently is living at this Hubbard Street address with his girlfriend.

K. De Cremer asked Leo how he keeps himself busy. Leo stated he works, eats, sleeps, does laundry and occasionally goes shopping. Basically all normal daily routines.

D. Gerondale asked Leo if he is involved in any organizations. Leo stated "not at this time."

A motion to approve the appeal of Leo Matson, address specific, for a period of 60 days, at which time Mr. Matson is to provide treatment documentation, was made by H. Michel, seconded by R. Keehan. One in favor, three opposed. Motion failed.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Leo Matson, address specific, for a period of 60 days, at which time Mr. Matson is to provide treatment and employment documentation was made by K. De Cremer, seconded by R. Keehan. All in favor. Motion carried.

(b) Appeal of Othello Mills requesting to move to 210 S. Maple Avenue, Apt. A

Othello appeared in person. D. Gerondale advised Othello of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Othello appeared before the board in February and was approved at this address for 60 days. He is here today to provide treatment and employment documentation.

Othello stated he is no longer in a treatment program because of conflicts with his job and school schedules. He recently (one week ago) started a new job at Tufco. He does not have employment documentation because his parole officer just told him about it. He and his parole officer are trying to find a treatment program that will work with his schedules. Othello stated he has completed sex offender treatment.

R. Keehan asked if he went to AODA on February 23. Othello said he did not. R. Keehan asked if he knew about his schedule conflicts at that time. Othello stated at that time, he was only working part time. Othello plans on applying for summer school but will attempt to schedule his treatment around his schedule.

D. Gerondale would like verification from Othello's parole officer that the reason Othello is not in treatment is because of conflicts with his school and work schedules.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Othello Mills, address specific, until May 13, 2015, at which time Mr. Mills is to provide verification from his parole officer that treatment conflicts with his school and work schedules, and confirming they are working on getting him into a program, was made by D. Gerondale, seconded by R. Keehan. All in favor. Motion carried.

D. Gerondale clarified that Mr. Mills is to provide documentation relating to all three: job, school and treatment. An alternative would be to make his parole officer available by telephone.

Ald. Jerry Wiezbiskie (District 1) asked if D. Gerondale is instructing Mr. Mills to get in a treatment program, but allowing his parole officer to override the wishes of the board. D. Gerondale stated this is not correct. The board wants documentation from the parole officer verifying that what Mr. Mills is telling them is correct. This does not mean the

board will automatically approve him to live here just because of what the parole officer says. The board is trying to get all the facts in order to make a more informed decision. Ald. Wiezbiskie questioned who has authority, the parole officer or the board. D. Gerondale responded that it's the board's decision whether or not he lives in the community and treatment is an important consideration.

(c) Appeal of Steven Gittens requesting to move to 3480 Wiggins Way

Steven appeared in person. D. Gerondale advised Steven of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Mr. Gittens appeared before the board in March and was approved at this location for a period of 30 days. He is here today to show that he has enrolled in a treatment program.

A motion is made by K. De Cremer and seconded by R. Keehan to move into closed session to discuss Steven's treatment, pursuant to Section 146.82(1), Wis. Stats. All in favor. Motion carried. A motion is made by K. De Cremer and seconded by H. Michel to return to open session. All in favor. Motion carried.

D. Gerondale stated Mr. Gittens spent time at Prevea regarding issues related to his crime. He is also working with a certified sexual offender therapist on a one-on-one basis.

R. Keehan asked Mr. Gittens how it make him feel knowing so many people are against him living in their neighborhood. Mr. Gittens said he understands their concern and he is not angry. He stated again that he would never hurt a child. He made a mistake and it's something he'll have to live with the rest of his life. He's taking the necessary steps to fix this problem. He hopes someday his neighbors will see he's not this person they hear about on the news or read about in the paper.

R. Keehan asked Mr. Gittens how long he's lived at this address. He replied about a year and a half.

D. Gerondale feels it's important for Mr. Gittens to understand that if the community has a concern about him living there, it will be difficult for him to live a normal life. It will also be difficult to use the treatment programs to get better. He will feel isolated.

H. Michel has concerns with the fact that Steven said he would never hurt a child, but when he was arrested, he knew he was going to meet a child. Steven replied that he does not know why he did it, but he was having a bad day. H. Michel asked how he can assure everyone here today that will not happen again. Steven said that's why he's in treatment – so it won't happen again. He guarantees it won't happen again.

Dan Schickel – 3377 Davies Avenue.

Dan provided a map showing where Mr. Gittens lives in relation to Red Smith Park, a day care and his house, which is about four blocks away. As a citizen, he has concerns with him living here. Dan recently had a son. He feels badly for Mr. Gittens, but does not want him to live there. He's concerned for the safety of his child.

Tammy Lane – 2672 Sussex Road.

Tammy read the following: Sex offenders are a serious threat to public safety. When convicted sex offenders reenter society, they are much more likely than any other type of offender to be rearrested for a new sexually based crime. Given the high rate of recidivism for sex offenders in not reducing opportunity and temptation is important to minimizing the risk of reoffense.

This man has been to treatment once. He lives less than 2,000 feet from a school, where middle school children, girls, attend, which seem to be what he desires. There is also a bus stop that provides transportation for Preble students and I just watched a young girl walking down the street that goes past his house every day. Track teams, cross-country teams, Bellin runners, all train and run in that neighborhood.

This man has no job, way too much time on his hands and can sit there and have temptation walk by his home every day, with no monitoring. Who's going to watch him?

As far as where he can live, if he has the capability of going on line and seeking out a 15 year old girl and enticing her and setting up a meeting to have sex, he can certainly find another place to reside.

One treatment meeting does not give anyone here a guarantee.

\*\*\*\*\*

D. Gerondale pointed out some inaccuracies in Ms. Lane's comments. One is that Mr. Gittens actually did spend a fair amount of time in treatment, with a private organization, through insurance he had prior to this. He did have some sexual treatment right after this happened.

Secondly, regarding treatment and assessment (which can be shared in general), the assessment from the State and the people who do assessments for sexual offenders, put him in the lowest category to reoffend.

D. Gerondale wants this noted on the record.

\*\*\*\*\*

Michael Zalaski – 3489 Wiggins Way.

Michael stated the following: I live kiddie corner from them. Within a four house radius, there are 10 kids – some teens, some small kids. I have three of my own. I definitely do not feel safe. He doesn't have a job. He's home all the time and I, personally, cannot wrap around the fact that he is claiming he did this because he had a bad day.

Even after going through treatment, he says he did this because he had a bad day. It does not seem the treatment is working or helping. It's scary for me that he's always across the street 24/7. And for the sake of my family, I do not want him to remain there.

Jason Frisch – 3469 Baywatch Road.

Jason stated he does not care what a professional doctor says. No one can care for their kids better than they can. Jason found 11 criminal charges on Mr. Gittens' record. There are thousands of kids in this neighborhood and it would be reckless to vote for this man to live in our neighborhood.

Joshua Hemstreet – 3488 Wiggins Way.

Joshua lives next door. He introduced his wife and family to the board members. Joshua stated: There are tons of kids in this neighborhood. I have seen firsthand the results of sexual abuse in my mother's family and it has wrecked relationships. I can't say that it has ruined lives, but it has changed their lives and ostracized people forever. One of things that came out of that was that the professionals that treated our family said that individual (Mr. Gittens) was never, ever to be trusted around children alone.

If I am to believe that he can never be trusted, how am I to allow my children to play in our yard without being there under constant supervision? I can't even explain to them until they're adults why they have to treat him differently than any of our other neighbors, and we're trying to teach our children to have loving relationships. They are incapable of processing the information of what he did. I'm forced to protect them, as a parent. I'm asking you to really think about the burden you're placing on me and other people sitting in this room who have children that live in direct proximity to him -- the burden you are placing on us because you don't want to inconvenience him.

There are places not even five miles from our house where he can live. He can go there and abide by the ordinance.

Joshua stated he has been in his home 8 years.

Edith Barth – 3382 Wiggins Way.

Edith stated the following: I am past the age of having children, but I'm super concerned for the children because our street, just on Wiggins Way, is filled with children. I drive by their place several times a day. The garage door is open most of the time and someone is sitting in the garage and looking out. If the garage door is closed, the front door has a glass door and is open. That means someone is keeping their eye on the outside. This is disturbing to me. Some child could get hurt. I don't think he should be living here in order to keep our neighborhood safe. It's way too close to a school.

Jane Hansen – 3489 Blackwolf Run.

Jane is co-president of the neighborhood association. She, herself, doesn't have children but is very worried for the neighbors who do. Jane gave the board a petition with 395 signatures on it. D. Gerondale lives in this neighborhood and thinks this is about one-third of the neighborhood.

John Verstegen – 3405 Wiggins Way.

John stated he is here today to speak against Mr. Wiggins living in their neighborhood. John has a 15 year old daughter. Mr. Wiggins tried to entice a 15 year old girl. John's neighbor has a 13 year old granddaughter. She walks by Mr. Wiggins' house every day to go to school.

No notification was sent out to the neighbors to let them know this meeting was going to happen the first time around.

D. Gerondale clarified it's city policy to publish meetings in the newspaper, the city website and the police department's Facebook page. The aldermen are also notified. For the record, more than two days' notice is given.

John pointed out that the list of Mr. Wiggins' crimes has gotten worse and worse. He's obviously progressing and becoming more violent and more of a danger to the community. Mr. Verstegen's concern is that Mr. Wiggins was not given much of a punishment according to what he saw and heard for his crime. These little slaps on the wrist basically embolden him to become more of a criminal and more of a threat to our community. He has no long term ties to this community.

John has lived in his home 20 years. "If you let him live here, I guarantee he's going to have another bad day. Sexual offenders are called predators for a reason. I've seen him sitting in his garage looking out. He's hunting. He's also not supposed to drive. He does that. He's ignoring all of the requirements associated with this offense. He's had 15 years of bad behavior."

Julie Rentmeester – 1769 Badger Street, #3.

Julie stated: I read the petition which was put on Facebook. If you are going to put a petition on a public website, it needs to be accurate. Yes, Steve is unemployed. He is deaf, he's legally disabled. In that petition, it says that he sits in the garage and in the yard. When he was at this board meeting last time, those were the things he told the board he liked to do. He has a workshop in the garage. He likes to cut the grass. As far as the garage door being open and him looking outside, he's deaf. Of course he's going to look around. He can't hear anything. A deaf person is going to look around.

As far as him illegally staying at my residence, if he was illegally staying at my residence, he would have been arrested. When he went for his sentencing, he went directly across to the probation office and they okayed him to live there. We had no idea. He got no jail time for this offense because the judge said he was \_\_\_\_\_. He went over there. He was okayed. It wasn't until 10 days later the community officer showed up at my house because somebody reported him living there and I had no idea.

We showed him the paper that he was approved. They said he could live there. So he gave Steve two weeks to file with the board. He did it within two days to come before the board. And then the board granted him 30 days. He was not illegally living at my house. I would be fined. He would be in jail.

So before you sign a petition, you need to know the facts.

D. Gerondale asked Ms. Rentmeester to state why she feels Mr. Gittens is a low risk to the community. D. Gerondale said that according to the law, Mr. Gittens has to stay in Brown County because this is where the offense occurred, but the board has to base its opinion on what's best for him and for the community.

J. Rentmeester stated Mr. Gittens went on his own for treatment through Prevea. He also went through his family doctor for medication for depression. He goes to his probation officer once a week, and once a month, the probation officer comes to the house. Mr. Gittens chose to go to Torrence because he would have been put on a waiting list to see Jim Drake. He is trying. He has followed everything. His truck is in the yard, but he doesn't drive it. It has to be sold because he has bills to pay.

His computer was confiscated with over 7000 files on it. Not one was pornography. He's not a pedophile. He made a big mistake and he's trying to fix it.

Richard (?) –Wiggins Way

Richard stated: I live two doors down from their residence. I just want to say I'm against him living there. I also have children. It is a lie that he doesn't drive. I've seen him driving daily. He moves his car out of his driveway. Yesterday he apparently got pulled over. I don't want to have to worry extra about my children because of him.

Derrick Washington – 3351 Cottage Hill Drive.

I've lived in the neighborhood for 7 years with my wife and 3 daughters. I have a 14 year old, an 11 year old and a 7 year old. We specifically moved to the Red Smith neighborhood because of what a wonderful neighborhood it was. In all facts, it's a wonderful neighborhood. It's families, children, grandparents, aunts and uncles. There are people out enjoying themselves, walking their dogs. Kids are running around the neighborhood in groups looking for lost cats and dogs. We can't do that anymore comfortably if you allow Mr. Gittens to live in our neighborhood. We all have bad days – I go for a run, so does my wife. My kids ride their bikes next to us. My wife and I now take turns to make sure someone is at home all the time to pick my kids up and bring them to school because Mr. Gittens lives a block away. We shouldn't have to live like that.

His criminal history is repeat offenses. I do not see rehabilitation in his criminal background. It's repeat and escalation in my mind. I am not taking a chance that he is a low risk.

John Sladke (sp?) – 2672 (?).

I've work for the past 20 years with alcoholics and drug addicts and I heard this word "treatment" come up. If you knew how many times I hear people going through treatment, after treatment, after treatment – there is no guarantee because you're going through a treatment program that you are cured. I work in the prison system. I talk to inmates. 95% of these fellows would not be in there if they had 15 minutes back. That's just too bad. Life is full of choices and you folks here have a choice to make. This gentleman could have gone after a 15 year old child and ruined that child's life, just because he made a bad choice. Let's not give him another opportunity to make a bad choice and let's make a good choice here, as a board. Make a good choice and put him some place where he's not going to have the temptations that he has. As I tell alcoholics, as you sit in a barber's chair long enough, sooner or later, you're going to get a haircut and that's exactly what this fellow is doing.

### Community Service Officer

My name is Gary Fifarek. I live 3464 Edinburgh Road. I am also a police officer for the city of Green Bay. I've been a police officer for 23 years now, the last 10 as a detective. I have a 14 year old son and a 12 year old daughter and suffice it to say, I was quite surprised and shocked to learn when the petition came around that a registered sex offender lived in my neighborhood.

I find it disturbing that he is going through treatment but is still in denial. He still won't admit to the fact that that's why he was going there -- all the way across Green Bay, all the way to Ashwaubenon, for the sole purpose of meeting this girl to have sex with her.

I tried to get a copy of the Ashwaubenon Police Report, but it hasn't been released yet. I did find out they confiscated his computer and found some pornographic images on it, but they aren't able to determine if they were adult or juvenile images. I put in another request for just the report.

In February about 10:30 a.m., two police officers went to the residence to see if he, in fact, was living there. They rang the bell and knocked on the door but didn't get a response. One of the officers looked through the window into the home and he saw a male described as Mr. Gittens standing in the kitchen with a shirt on but completely naked from the waist down. They still weren't able to make contact with him.

Four days later, one of the officers was on traffic duty in the area and happened to see Julie Rentmeester walk outside the home. He made contact with her and said he'd like to speak to Steven and asked if he was home. She said, "yes." When the officers walked into the open garage door and into the service door to go into the home, they saw a male scoot across the hallway stark naked. He apparently went into a bedroom and a short moment later came out wearing a robe.

They spoke to Julie and Mr. Gittens about the city ordinance and stated he would need to go before the board to get a waiver in order to stay living there.

That in itself is a bit disturbing. What you do in your own home, I believe you have a right to do as long as it's legal. But it's the middle of winter and he's standing around stark naked in the home.

It just boggles my mind. You see stories like this on the news all the time, yet they still continue to do it, even knowing the consequences. They can't help that urge.

He was arrested for the crime and had a high bond. He wasn't able to bail out so he sat there for 4 months. Once he did plead no contest, the enticement charge which is a Class D Felony, he was given time served, a small fine and put on probation and parole. State supervision is a term that doesn't really adequately describe it. He's meeting face-to-face with his agent once a week and then he's doing a face-to-face at his home once a month. That's all.

You'd like to trust Julie Rentmeester, the girlfriend, but how can you trust her when she's letting him live there against the city ordinance. According to the Judge's orders, he's not supposed to drive and he is to sell the vehicle. As of today, he still has a vehicle registered in his name. His probation agent isn't following the judge's orders. I don't know who is in this case.

The City of Green Bay created the sex offender residency requirement in the first place because citizens and the leaders were sick and tired of the state dumping these sex offenders in the City of Green Bay. I hope that Mr. Gittens does get the help that he needs. Yes, he is labeled as a low risk, but when kids are involved, I prefer no risk in my book.

I'm not willing to have the children of Red Smith be used as guinea pigs to see if Mr. Gittens is successful or not in his treatment. He needs to relocate to a different address away from any possible temptations this current location presents.

#### Ald. Jerry Wiezbiskie

To summarize what I've been hearing the last couple months – I agree there is a direct conflict between the state and the board. He was not told by his P.O. to register with this board. If I ever saw a reason to turn someone down – 2000 feet from a school and park; less than 2000 feet from a child care facility; hundreds of kids.

He should be directed to get the help he needs. The neighborhood does not want him there. How could we possibly place him where he would be set up to fail? He has no support from his neighbors to help him to improve. I hope the board will vote wisely on this and take to heart everything you heard here tonight.

R. Keehan asked Mr. Wiggins if he had anything to say. Steven stated he could not hear what was said. She asked him if he has driven his truck. Steven replied, "no." He admits he moved it around the drive-way.

H. Michel told Mr. Wiggins he has a lot of people here who are against him right now. H. Michel also understands that he has family sitting here today and not one of them

spoke up on his behalf. She has issue with the fact that his own family is not supporting him and saying something positive.

A person in attendance clarified that they are not against "him" but are against him living in the neighborhood.

A motion to DENY the appeal of Steven Gittens was made by K. De Cremer, seconded by H. Michel.

Discussion: D. Gerondale stated that for Mr. Gittens to be successful, he has to be in a place where he doesn't have the distraction of people who are against him living where he's at. He needs a support system.

Three in favor, one opposed (R. Keehan). Motion carried.

D. Gerondale stated he has to be out in two days. He will have to find a place to live outside the city of Green Bay. He asked Mr. Gittens if he understands. Mr. Gittens stated he "understands nothing."

- (d) Appeal of Michelle Schiltz requesting to move to 1769 Badger Street, Apt. 3

Michelle appeared in person. D. Gerondale advised Michelle of her right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed her not to use the names of the victims.

Michelle is currently living at this address. She's been there a year. She didn't realize she was in violation of the ordinance because she thought everything after Military Avenue was in Howard.

The offense occurred in 1991. Michelle is 46 now and this occurred when she was in her 20's. She was aware of the age of the victim, who was the babysitter.

Michelle does not remember what happened that night. She was drugged at the time. She remembers waking up with guns to her head and being taken in. She pled out and did 5 years' probation, a year in the county jail, no infractions on probation. She raised her kids, lived her life and had good jobs. The last crime she had was a misdemeanor.

She is currently divorced and happily so. She and her child have been homeless for 6 months until this landlord agreed to rent to her. She pays her rent. Michelle is currently disabled and is trying to get disability. She is currently on the W2 program working with social workers.

Michelle will have a 5th surgery this year. She is currently living with her 15 year old child. They are on food stamps.

Michelle can't deny the offense occurred, but she does not remember what happened. Since then she's grown as a person.

Athena Wendricks – 1769 Badger Street, Apt. 3

Athena is Michelle's step-mother. Her husband was Michelle's father. For the past 10 years, Athena hasn't known Michelle as a sex offender but as her daughter. She is a good mother and grandmother.

She has become Athena's rock. Athena's husband died February 18. Her own daughter couldn't be there for her, but Michelle was. Michelle's daughter has also been there for Athena. She is always there for the rest of her family, including her step-sisters.

Michelle gave the board members a letter from the victim herself. She asked the board to read it, sign it and date it and put it back in the envelope and seal it. Michelle hasn't read the letter and does not want to. It's in the victim's own words. Michelle was supposed to be off the registry in 2012 and does not want to go through all this again for the rest of her life. H. Michel asked Michelle if she is still in contact with the victim. Michelle stated she is not. However, in 2011, the victim approached Michelle and said she knew her. Michelle didn't recognize her. Michelle plans on taking the victim's letter to court to show to the judge.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Michelle Schiltz, address specific, was made by H. Michel, seconded by K. De Cremer. All in favor. Motion carried.

Michelle understands she has to come before the board again if she wants to move to another address.

(e) Appeal of Todd Jackson requesting to move to 1263 Perrot Street

Todd appeared in person. D. Gerondale advised Todd of his right to discuss treatment issues in closed session and informed him not to use the names of the victims.

Todd is currently homeless. In 1903 or 1904, Todd had a sexual relationship with a 15 year old girl. He knew the victim for approximately six months. Todd was 35 years old at the time. Todd served 6-1/2 years in federal prison because he also had a child pornography case. He then went to Dodge and Oshkosh Correctional and served another 13 months.

Todd completed a S02 program. He has been seeing James Drake for 8-9 months, twice a month. They have targeted Todd's triggers and he is working on these. Todd is currently employed at Wally's Spot Supper Club. He is also employed three days a week at Perkins. He works about 60 hours a week. When he finds time, he works out at the gym. He is constantly busy.

Todd has been out since August 12, 2014. He was incarcerated at Huber for 6 months. Todd has been bouncing around since February 8 and has been looking for a place to live the entire time. It's very difficult to find a place. Huber was used as a halfway house for him.

H. Michel asked Todd how long his supervision is. Todd stated he will be supervised for life. He wears a GPS, which will also be lifetime.

R. Keehan asked Todd what his triggers are. Todd stated “vulnerability.” Also his way of “sneaking in and being able to manipulate the situation.” This is what he did.

Amanda Jackson – 1130 Shawano Avenue.

Amanda is Todd’s daughter. She knows this is the next step for him to better his life. He’s been working hard since he got out of prison in August. Amanda has been helping him every step of the way as much as she can. She was excited when he got approval from the landlord and this will be the next step to help him have a normal life.

D. Gerondale asked how much time she sees him and interacts with him. Amanda stated Todd calls her almost every day; otherwise, they try to meet up every couple weeks for lunch. They have been in constant contact since August.

She has no concerns about his progress thus far. In Amanda’s mind, he is completely fine. He does not drink alcohol.

Right now, Todd plans on living alone.

Thomas Jackson – 2693 East River Drive.

Thomas is a chef at Wally’s Spot. Thomas has been working for him since he was released. He is one of the hardest working guys he’s ever met. He works day, night or any time he calls him. He’s constantly busy and a really emotional guy. All in all, he’s a good person.

Thomas sees his parole officer every week. He sees his federal parole officer once a month.

R. Keehan said this is a quiet, dead end street.

A motion to APPROVE the appeal of Thomas Jackson, address specific, was made by R. Keehan, seconded by K. De Cremer.

Discussion: D. Gerondale definitely feels Thomas is moving in the right direction. He is still a little concerned about the child pornography and trafficking case. This will be a lifetime addiction for him and it was a heinous crime. He is making the right steps but for D. Gerondale it’s risk factor. He has made a lot of progress.

Three in favor, one opposed (D. Gerondale).

Motion carried.

(f) Appeal of Kim Fellers requesting to move to 1212 Lore Lane, Apt. B

Kim Fellers did not appear. She called the law department and asked to be taken off the agenda, as the apartment had already been rented out.

3. **NEXT MEETING DATE:** May 13, 2015 at 2:30 p.m.

The next meeting date of June 10, 2015 was confirmed.

A motion to adjourn was made by R. Keehan, seconded by K. De Cremer. All in favor. Motion carried.